Most electric power transmission is done via overhead lines, with insulators providing mechanical support and electrical protection. The two predominant designs are suspension- and post-type, both characterized by angled ‘sheds’ that direct water off the device and maximize the leakage distance between line and tower. (Leakage distance is the shortest path along the surface of the insulator from conductor to ground.)

Ceramic and glass have long been the materials of choice for high-voltage insulators and lightning arresters, offering good resistance to electrical stress and outdoor exposure without significant deterioration. The pros and cons of these materials are well known, and their use is addressed in acknowledged international standards.

The market for composite insulators is growing steadily, both in long-rod line applications and hollow core station insulators. Composite designs typically use engineered polymers which offer higher mechanical strength, greater design flexibility, reduced weight, and lower breakage rates than ceramic components. The emerging shift to composites adds new importance to the debate over which polymeric material should be used for the housing. Insulator field experience and extensive, multi-stress lab testing of different elastomer formulations have shown silicone’s unique surface behavior to be an advantage in these applications.
In the past decade, however, there has been a dramatic increase in the use of composites for a variety of applications, ranging from 500 kV transmission line insulators and lightning arresters to cable accessories. Composite designs generally employ a fiberglass rod or hollow core for mechanical strength, with an outer housing made of either silicone rubber, EPDM or EPR.

Among their advantages, composite designs offer lighter weight, less breakage, improved seismic performance and more flexibility in design than ceramic insulators. These features can translate into lower installation cost, greater durability and more aesthetically pleasing line design.

**Insulator stress**

Insulator service life can be affected by electrical, mechanical and environmental stresses. The greatest physical threats are generally mechanical loads, UV exposure and vandalism. Airborne contamination can also be a serious problem, as it leads to electrical discharge. Atmospheric contamination and moisture can form a conductive film, leading to leakage current, dry band arcing and eventually flashover, which is a fault to ground over the insulator.

Leakage currents increase as the material’s surface loses its hydrophobicity and surface resistance over time, which happens in several ways. Ceramic glaze can be eroded by windblown sand and dirt, whereas resilient polymer surfaces are less susceptible to erosion from such abrasive particles.

**Surface contamination**

One of the biggest problems in outdoor applications is airborne contamination that settles on the insulator surface. In some cases, these airborne particles are of natural origin, such as in a coastal region exposed to salt spray or ocean fog. Industrial sources, agricultural spraying and automotive exhaust can also contribute to reduced water repellency and diminished electrical performance. Both ceramic and composite designs are subject to the build-up of contaminants.

When contamination build-up is exposed to moisture, an electrolytic film can develop, leading to excessive leakage current, dry band arcing and eventually to flashover. Coastal environments have a particular problem, as the weather creates cycles of contamination followed by high humidity or fog. Such were the conditions when Florida Power and Light experienced more than 170 outages over a single nine-day period in 1991 [1].

The basic mechanism for contamination-induced flashover on static surface materials like porcelain can be summarized as follows [2].

**Contamination/flashover mechanism**

1. Contaminant deposition;
2. Conductive water filming;
3. Leakage currents;
4. Local heating;
5. Dry-band formation;
6. Dry-band arcing;
7. Arc (and band) extension;
8. Air ionization;

In the event of a flashover, the intense localized energy causes an abrupt temperature elevation. If the arc is severe enough, a ceramic or glass insulator will fracture. During a less severe incident, the leakage currents frequently dry into pathways that later lead to dry-band arcing, which can be severe enough to damage the housing material.

Utilities have developed a number of other techniques for coping with contamination. High-pressure washing, silicone dielectric greases and RTV silicone coatings have all been applied to ceramic and glass insulators with some success. Each method has its drawbacks, however.

Washing of insulators is labor-intensive, and can be dangerous to workers, since it is generally impractical to shut down service. Results are sometimes inadequate — no amount of cleaning would have removed contamination fast enough to prevent the 1991 FP&L outages, for example. When insulators are grouped together, such as in a substation, pressure washing or hand cleaning can be very difficult to accomplish while the station is energized, due to the clearances that must be maintained. Washing can be economical on easily accessed lines, but can be very impractical in remote areas or difficult access locations. Silicone greases offer a longer-lasting solution, as silicone fluid within the grease formulation encapsulates contaminants, rendering them nonconductive and retaining a hydrophobic surface. However, the grease will eventually be overwhelmed by contamination, at which time it must be removed and replaced (generally from three months to five years, depending on the severity of the conditions).

Sprayable RTV silicone elastomers have proven more effective, offering much better long-term resistance to contamination and moisture. Developed from the same product family as construction sealants, the coatings are formulated with
arc resistant additives and dispersed in solvent. This solution offers longer remedial relief from contamination and associated problems, but utilities and OEMs continue to seek a more permanent solution, especially for new installations.

This need has led to the continued development of polymer housings for insulator and arrester designs. In particular, silicone rubber seems to be emerging as a material of choice among insulator specifiers. In fact, nearly every major insulator manufacturer now offers a design with a silicone housing. The increased demand for silicone rubber can be attributed to a general upsurge in composite design use, as well as a growing preference for silicone over other elastomers.

**Performance of silicone rubber**

Composite insulators designed with silicone rubber have been found to reduce the need for maintenance in areas of moderate to high contamination. The surface of a silicone elastomer has a unique ability to interact with the contaminant and control leakage currents, interrupting the normal process that leads to contamination-induced flashover. Improved control of leakage current helps prevent dry band arcing and subsequent flashover.

Silicone has demonstrated better hydrophobicity and lower surface energy than most organic polymers. The surface properties of silicone are such that the material recovers its hydrophobicity between contamination and/or corona episodes, while other materials progressively deteriorate. Corona exposure temporarily increases the ‘wettability’ of silicone rubber, a phenomenon associated with the increase in surface oxygen content. After a rest period, the material’s water-repellency returns. This hydrophobic recovery is thought to result at least in part from the diffusion of low molecular weight PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) fluid to the surface, as well as a unique ability of the silicone surface to reorient after exposure [3, 4].

This free fluid in silicone rubber is also the key to another important surface characteristic of silicone rubber. The microscopic diffusion of fluid serves to encapsulate contaminant particles and prevent moisture absorption. Migration of the hydrophobic fluid helps maintain a high surface resistance and water-shielding ability.

**Compounding**

Silicone compounds for high-voltage transmission line insulators are generally high-consistency rubber (HCR) formulations. Two types of filler are typically used – silica in the reinforcement that lends physical strength to the polymer, while alumina trihydrate (ATH) improves arc resistance.

Filler treatments, pigments and/or cure agents may also be part of the formulation. The polymer-filler combination is important in formulating silicone compounds for molding insulators and other components.

Processing, physical properties and electrical performance are all affected by the molecular weight and structure of the polymer, as well as filler type, size, shape, surface treatment and residual catalyst or contaminants. The polymer-filler combination is critical. By custom compounding silicone materials for specific criteria, it is possible to develop a product that will not only pass the required physical and electrical tests, but also help molders optimize processing techniques for their specific equipment.

**Testing**

Test methods designed for ceramic insulators have not shown good correlation with actual service experience when applied to polymeric materials. Existing standards have been designed primarily for testing insulators made from porcelain, a static surface that has no interaction with contaminants; it will readily wet out. To improve the performance of insulators made from such materials, manufacturers simply increase creepage distances. For this reason, they can be tested by continuous arc exposure, which is designed to estimate long-term performance. Unfortunately, the procedure has little resemblance to actual service conditions with silicone rubber units. Silicone sheds control leakage currents to help prevent high-energy dry band arcing from occurring.

Similarly, some tests call for a massive build-up of contamination to be applied to simulate long service, followed by energized exposure to moisture and salt fog. Silicone rubber, however, has low surface energy and causes water to bead up. Its hydrophobic nature helps prevent contaminant build-up, and also allows a rain storm to help clean the surface. Applying a large dose of contamination is an unrealistic condition, one that silicone formulations are designed to avoid. Further, the natural encapsulation of particulates cannot occur if many years’ worth of contaminants are applied all at once for a short-term test.

Developing new test methods and equipment specifically for polymeric materials is currently a priority for utilities, universities and research organizations. In one advancement, the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) High-Voltage Transmission Research Center in the USA has developed a fog chamber method that allows testing with variable contamination levels. A specific insulator design can be subjected to a number of stresses that closely resemble the actual service environment.

Despite the test refinements, much of the industry still relies on procedures developed decades ago for porcelain. While some manufacturers have adopted specific techniques to evaluate polymer compounds, they can vary widely from one company to another. Differences in process conditions among fabricators also complicate the development of standard compounds for molding HV insulators. As a result, some silicone suppliers have opted for a custom formulation approach.
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